Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/607,047	FANARA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan T. Tran	1615
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>Susan T. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) Warren M. Cheek, Jr.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 March 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:	·	
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN to place the application in condition for allowance, it was sugged Declaration filed 09/07/05). Applicant's attorney authorized the	ested to amend the limitation	
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)